



**ARCHROCK**

## 6LoWPAN Tutorial

# IP on IEEE 802.15.4 Low-Power Wireless Networks

**David E. Culler**

**Jonathan Hui**

## IEEE 802.15.4 - The New IP Link

- <http://www.ietf.org/internet-drafts/draft-ietf-6lowpan-format-12.txt>
  - Please refer to the internet draft / RFCs for definitive reference
- 1% of 802.11 power, easier to embed, as easy to use.



# THE Question

If Wireless Sensor Networks represent a future of “billions of information devices embedded in the physical world,”

why don't they run **THE** standard internetworking protocol?

# The Answer

## *They should*

- Substantially advances the state-of-the-art in both domains.
- Implementing IP requires tackling the general case, not just a specific operational slice
  - Interoperability with all other potential IP network links
  - Potential to name and route to any IP-enabled device within security domain
  - Robust operation despite external factors
    - Coexistence, interference, errant devices, ...
- While meeting the critical embedded wireless requirements
  - High reliability and adaptability
  - Long lifetime on limited energy
  - Manageability of many devices
  - Within highly constrained resources



# Many Advantages of IP

- **Extensive interoperability**
  - Other wireless embedded 802.15.4 network devices
  - Devices on any other IP network link (WiFi, Ethernet, GPRS, Serial lines, ...)
- **Established security**
  - Authentication, access control, and firewall mechanisms
  - Network design and policy determines access, not the technology
- **Established naming, addressing, translation, lookup, discovery**
- **Established proxy architectures for higher-level services**
  - NAT, load balancing, caching, mobility
- **Established application level data model and services**
  - HTTP/HTML/XML/SOAP/REST, Application profiles
- **Established network management tools**
  - Ping, Traceroute, SNMP, ... OpenView, NetManager, Ganglia, ...
- **Transport protocols**
  - End-to-end reliability in addition to link reliability
- **Most “industrial” (wired and wireless) standards support an IP option**

# Leverage existing standards, rather than “reinventing the wheel”




- RFC 768 UDP - User Datagram Protocol [1980]
- RFC 791 IPv4 – Internet Protocol [1981]
- RFC 792 ICMPv4 – Internet Control Message Protocol [1981]
- RFC 793 TCP – Transmission Control Protocol [1981]
- RFC 862 Echo Protocol [1983]
- RFC 1101 DNS Encoding of Network Names and Other Types [1989]
- RFC 1191 IPv4 Path MTU Discovery [1990]
- RFC 1981 IPv6 Path MTU Discovery [1996]
- RFC 2131 DHCPv4 - Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol [1997]
- RFC 2375 IPv6 Multicast Address Assignments [1998]
- RFC 2460 IPv6 [1998]
- RFC 2463 ICMPv6 - Internet Control Message Protocol for IPv6 [1998]
- RFC 2765 Stateless IP/ICMP Translation Algorithm (SIIT) [2000]
- RFC 3068 An Anycast Prefix for 6to4 Relay Routers [2001]
- RFC 3307 Allocation Guidelines for IPv6 Multicast Addresses [2002]
- RFC 3315 DHCPv6 - Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol for IPv6 [2003]
- RFC 3484 Default Address Selection for IPv6 [2003]
- RFC 3587 IPv6 Global Unicast Address Format [2003]
- RFC 3819 Advice for Internet Subnetwork Designers [2004]
- RFC 4007 IPv6 Scoped Address Architecture [2005]
- RFC 4193 Unique Local IPv6 Unicast Addresses [2005]
- RFC 4291 IPv6 Addressing Architecture [2006]

- **Proposed Standard - "Transmission of IPv6 Packets over IEEE 802.15.4 Networks"**

# Key Factors for IP over 802.15.4

- ➔ • Header
  - Standard IPv6 header is 40 bytes [RFC 2460]
  - Entire 802.15.4 MTU is 127 bytes [IEEE ]
  - Often data payload is small
- Fragmentation
  - Interoperability means that applications need not know the constraints of physical links that might carry their packets
  - IP packets may be large, compared to 802.15.4 max frame size
  - IPv6 requires all links support 1280 byte packets [RFC 2460]
- Allow link-layer mesh routing under IP topology
  - 802.15.4 subnets may utilize multiple radio hops per IP hop
  - Similar to LAN switching within IP routing domain in Ethernet
- Allow IP routing over a mesh of 802.15.4 nodes
  - Options and capabilities already well-defines
  - Various protocols to establish routing tables
- Energy calculations and 6LoWPAN impact

# IEEE 802.15.4 Frame Format



- Low Bandwidth (250 kbps), low power (1 mW) radio
- Moderately spread spectrum (QPSK) provides robustness
- Simple MAC allows for general use
  - Many TinyOS-based protocols (MintRoute, LQI, BVR, ...), TinyAODV, Zigbee, SP100.11, Wireless HART, ...
  - 6LoWPAN => IP
- Choice among many semiconductor suppliers
- Small Packets to keep packet error rate low and permit media sharing




## RFC 3189 - "Advice for Internet Sub-Network Designers"

- Total end-to-end interactive response time should not exceed human perceivable delays
- Lack of broadcast capability impedes or, in some cases, renders some protocols inoperable (e.g. DHCP). Broadcast media can also allow efficient operation of multicast, a core mechanism of IPv6
- Link-layer error recovery often increases end-to-end performance. However, it should be lightweight and need not be perfect, only good enough
- Sub-network designers should minimize delay, delay variance, and packet loss as much as possible
- Sub-networks operating at low speeds or with small MTUs should compress IP and transport-level headers (TCP and UDP)

# 6LoWPAN Format Design

- Orthogonal stackable header format
- Almost no overhead for the ability to interoperate and scale.
- Pay for only what you use

## IEEE 802.15.4 Frame Format




## IETF 6LoWPAN Format

Dispatch: coexistence

Header compression

Mesh (L2) routing


Message > Frame fragmentation




# 6LoWPAN - The First Byte

- Coexistence with other network protocols over same link
- Header dispatch - understand what's coming

## IEEE 802.15.4 Frame Format




## IETF 6LoWPAN Format



# 6LoWPAN - IPv6 Header

## IEEE 802.15.4 Frame Format




## IETF 6LoWPAN Format




Source address	: derived from link address
Destination address	: derived from link address
Traffic Class & Flow Label	: zero
Next header	: UDP, TCP, or ICMP

# IPv6 Header Compression



- [http://www.visi.com/~mjb/Drawings/IP\\_Header\\_v6.pdf](http://www.visi.com/~mjb/Drawings/IP_Header_v6.pdf)

# HC1 Compressed IPv6 Header




- IPv6 address <prefix64 || interface id> for nodes in 802.15.4 subnet derived from the link address.
  - PAN ID maps to a unique IPv6 prefix
  - Interface identifier generated from EUID64 or Pan ID & short address
- Hop Limit is the only incompressible IPv6 header field

# 6LoWPAN: Compressed IPv6 Header



## IEEE 802.15.4 Frame Format



## IETF 6LoWPAN Format



“Compressed IPv6”


“how it is compressed”

- Non 802.15.4 local addresses
- non-zero traffic & flow
- rare and optional

# 6LoWPAN - Compressed / UDP



## IEEE 802.15.4 Frame Format




Dispatch: Compressed IPv6

HC1: Source & Dest Local, next hdr=UDP


IP: Hop limit

UDP: 8-byte header (uncompressed)

# L4 - UDP/ICMP Headers (8 bytes)



from 15.4 header




# 6LoWPAN - Compressed / Compressed UDP

## IEEE 802.15.4 Frame Format



## IETF 6LoWPAN Format



Dispatch: Compressed IPv6

HC1: Source & Dest Local, next hdr=UDP

IP: Hop limit

UDP: HC2+3-byte header (compressed)


source port = P + 4 bits, p = 61616 (0xF0B0)

destination port = P + 4 bits

# 6LoWPAN / Zigbee Comparison



## IEEE 802.15.4 Frame Format



## IETF 6LoWPAN Format



## Zigbee APDU Frame Format




- fctrl: Frame Control bit fields
  - D ep: Destination Endpoint (like UDP port)
  - clstr: cluster identifier
  - prof: profile identifier
  - S ep: Source Endpoint
  - APS: APS counter (sequence to prevent duplicates)
- \*\*\* Typical configuration. Larger and smaller alternative forms exist.

# 6LoWPAN - Compressed / ICMP



## IEEE 802.15.4 Frame Format



## IETF 6LoWPAN Format

Dispatch: Compressed IPv6


HC1: Source & Dest Local, next hdr=ICMP

IP: Hops Limit

ICMP: 8-byte header

# L4 - TCP Header (20 bytes)


## TCP Header



# 6LoWPAN - Compressed / TCP



## IEEE 802.15.4 Frame Format



Dispatch: Compressed IPv6

HC1: Source & Dest Local, next hdr=TCP

IP: Hops Limit

TCP: 20-byte header

# Key Points for IP over 802.15.4

- Header overhead
  - Standard IPv6 header is 40 bytes [RFC 2460]
  - Entire 802.15.4 MTU is 127 bytes [IEEE std]
  - Often data payload is small
- ➔ • Fragmentation
  - Interoperability means that applications need not know the constraints of physical links that might carry their packets
  - IP packets may be large, compared to 802.15.4 max frame size
  - IPv6 requires all links support 1280 byte packets [RFC 2460]
- Allow link-layer mesh routing under IP topology
  - 802.15.4 subnets may utilize multiple radio hops per IP hop
  - Similar to LAN switching within IP routing domain in Ethernet
- Allow IP routing over a mesh of 802.15.4 nodes
  - Localized internet of overlapping subnets
- Energy calculations and 6LoWPAN impact

# Fragmentation

- All fragments of an IP packet carry the same “tag”
  - Assigned sequentially at source of fragmentation
- Each specifies tag, size, and position
- Do not have to arrive in order
- Time limit for entire set of fragments (60s)

First fragment



Rest of the fragments




# 6LoWPAN - Example

## Fragmented / Compressed / Compressed UDP

### IEEE 802.15.4 Frame Format



### IETF 6LoWPAN Format



Dispatch: Fragmented, First Fragment, Tag, Size

Dispatch: Compressed IPv6

HC1: Source & Dest Local, next hdr=UDP

IP: Hop limit

UDP: HC2+3-byte header (compressed)

# Key Points for IP over 802.15.4

- Header overhead
  - Standard IPv6 header is 40 bytes [RFC 2460]
  - Entire 802.15.4 MTU is 127 bytes [IEEE std]
  - Often data payload is small
- Fragmentation
  - Interoperability means that applications need not know the constraints of physical links that might carry their packets
  - IP packets may be large, compared to 802.15.4 max frame size
  - IPv6 requires all links support 1280 byte packets [RFC 2460]
- ➔ • Allow link-layer mesh routing under IP topology
  - 802.15.4 subnets may utilize multiple radio hops per IP hop
  - Similar to LAN switching within IP routing domain in Ethernet
- Allow IP routing over a mesh of 802.15.4 nodes
  - Localized internet of overlapping subnets
- Energy calculations and 6LoWPAN impact

## “Mesh Under” Header

- Originating node and Final node specified by either short (16 bit) or EUID (64 bit) 802.15.4 address
  - In addition to IP source and destination
- Hops Left (up to 14 hops, then add byte)
- Mesh protocol determines node at each mesh hop

LoWPAN mesh header



final short address  
originator short address


# 6LoWPAN - Example

## Mesh / Compressed / Compressed UDP

### IEEE 802.15.4 Frame Format



### IETF 6LoWPAN Format



Dispatch: Mesh under, orig short, final short

Mesh: orig addr, final addr

Dispatch: Compressed IPv6

HC1: Source & Dest Local, next hdr=UDP

IP: Hop limit

UDP: HC2+3-byte header


# 6LoWPAN - Example

## Mesh / Fragmented / Compressed / UDP

### IEEE 802.15.4 Frame Format



### IETF 6LoWPAN Format



Dispatch: Mesh under, orig short, final short

Mesh: orig addr, final addr

Dispatch: Fragmented, First Fragment, Tag, Size

Dispatch: Compressed IPv6

HC1: Source & Dest Local, next hdr=UDP

IP: Hop limit

UDP: HC2 + 3-byte header

# Key Points for IP over 802.15.4


- Header overhead
  - Standard IPv6 header is 40 bytes [RFC 2460]
  - Entire 802.15.4 MTU is 127 bytes [IEEE std]
  - Often data payload is small
- Fragmentation
  - Interoperability means that applications need not know the constraints of physical links that might carry their packets
  - IP packets may be large, compared to 802.15.4 max frame size
  - IPv6 requires all links support 1280 byte packets [RFC 2460]
- Allow link-layer mesh routing under IP topology
  - 802.15.4 subnets may utilize multiple radio hops per IP hop
  - Similar to LAN switching within IP routing domain in Ethernet
-  • Allow IP routing over a mesh of 802.15.4 nodes
  - Localized internet of overlapping subnets
- Energy calculations and 6LoWPAN impact

# IP-Based Multi-Hop Routing

- IP has always done “multi-hop”
  - Routers connect sub-networks to one another
  - The sub-networks may be the same or different physical links
- Routers utilize routing tables to determine which node represents the “next hop” toward the destination
- Routing protocols establish and maintain proper routing tables
  - Routers exchange messages using more basic communication capabilities
  - Different routing protocols are used in different situations
  - RIP, OSPF, IGP, BGP, AODV, OLSR, ...
- IP routing over 6LoWPAN links does not require additional header information at 6LoWPAN layer




# IPv6 Address Auto-Configuration



PAN\* - complement the "Universal/Local" (U/L) bit, which is the next-to-lowest order bit of the first octet

# Key Points for IP over 802.15.4

- Header overhead
  - Standard IPv6 header is 40 bytes [RFC 2460]
  - Entire 802.15.4 MTU is 127 bytes [IEEE std]
  - Often data payload is small
- Fragmentation
  - Interoperability means that applications need not know the constraints of physical links that might carry their packets
  - IP packets may be large, compared to 802.15.4 max frame size
  - IPv6 requires all links support 1280 byte packets [RFC 2460]
- Allow link-layer mesh routing under IP topology
  - 802.15.4 subnets may utilize multiple radio hops per IP hop
  - Similar to LAN switching within IP routing domain in Ethernet
- Allow IP routing over a mesh of 802.15.4 nodes
  - Localized internet of overlapping subnets
- Energy calculations and 6LoWPAN impact




# Energy Efficiency

- Battery capacity typically rated in Amp-hours
  - Chemistry determines voltage
  - AA Alkaline:  $\sim 2,000 \text{ mAh} = 7,200,000 \text{ mAs}$
  - D Alkaline:  $\sim 15,000 \text{ mAh} = 54,000,000 \text{ mAs}$
- Unit of effort: mAs
  - multiply by voltage to get energy (joules)
- Lifetime
  - 1 year = 31,536,000 secs
  - ⇒ 228  $\mu\text{A}$  average current on AA
  - ⇒ 72,000,000 packets TX or Rcv @ 100  $\mu\text{As}$  per TX or Rcv
  - ⇒ 2 packets per second for 1 year if no other consumption


# Energy Profile of a Transmission

Datasheet  
Analysis



- Power up oscillator & radio (CC2420)
- Configure radio
- Clear Channel Assessment, Encrypt and Load TX buffer
- Transmit packet
- Switch to rcv mode, listen, receive ACK

# Low Impact of 6LoWPAN on Lifetime - Comparison to \*Raw\* 802.15.4 Frame





# Rest of the Energy Story

- Energy cost of communication has four parts
  - Transmission
  - Receiving
  - Listening (staying ready to receive)
  - Overhearing (packets destined for others)
- The increase in header size to support IP over 802.15.4 results in a small increase in transmit and receive costs
  - Both infrequent in long term monitoring
- The dominant cost is listening! – regardless of format.
  - Can only receive if transmission happens when radio is on, “listening”
  - Critical factor is not collisions or contention, but when and how to listen
  - Preamble sampling, low-power listening and related listen “all the time” in short gulps and pay extra on transmission
  - TDMA, FPS, TSMP and related communication scheduling listen only now and then in long gulps. Transmission must wait for listen slot. Clocks must be synchronized. Increase delay to reduce energy consumption.



# Conclusion

- 6LoWPAN turns IEEE 802.15.4 into the next IP-enabled link
- Provides open-systems based interoperability among low-power devices over IEEE 802.15.4
- Provides interoperability between low-power devices and existing IP devices, using standard routing techniques
- Paves the way for further standardization of communication functions among low-power IEEE 802.15.4 devices
- Offers watershed leverage of a huge body of IP-based operations, management and communication services and tools
- Great ability to work within the resource constraints of low-power, low-memory, low-bandwidth devices like WSN



# Frequently Asked Questions



# How does 6LoWPAN compare to Zigbee, SP100.11a, ...?

- Zigbee
  - only defines communication between 15.4 nodes (“layer 2” in IP terms), not the rest of the network (other links, other nodes).
  - defines new upper layers, all the way to the application, similar to IRDA, USB, and Bluetooth, rather utilizing existing standards.
  - Specification still in progress (Zigbee 2006 incompatible with Zigbee 1.0. Zigbee 2007 in progress.) Lacks a transport layer.
- SP100.11a
  - seeks to address a variety of links, including 15.4, 802.11, WiMax, and future “narrow band frequency hoppers”.
  - Specification is still in the early stages, but it would seem to need to redefine much of what is already defined with IP.
  - Much of the emphasis is on the low-level media arbitration using TDMA techniques (like token ring) rather than CSMA (like ethernet and wifi). This issue is orthogonal to the frame format.
- 6LoWPAN defines how established IP networking layers utilize the 15.4 link.
  - it enables 15.4  $\leftrightarrow$  15.4 and 15.4  $\leftrightarrow$  non-15.4 communication
  - It enables the use of a broad body of existing standards as well as higher level protocols, software, and tools.
  - It is a focused extension to the suite of IP technologies that enables the use of a new class of devices in a familiar manner.



# Do I need IP for my stand-alone network?

- Today, essentially all computing devices use IP network stacks to communicate with other devices, whether they form an isolated stand-alone network, a privately accessible portion of a larger enterprise, or publicly accessible hosts.
  - When all the devices form a subnet, no routing is required, but everything works in just the same way.
- The software, the tools, and the standards utilize IP and the layers above it, not the particular physical link underneath.
- The value of making it “all the same” far outweighs the moderate overheads.
- 6LoWPAN eliminates the overhead where it matters most.



## Will the “ease of access” with IP mean less security?

- No.
- The most highly sensitive networks use IP internally, but are completely disconnected from all other computers.
- IP networks in all sorts of highly valued settings are protected by establishing very narrow, carefully managed points of interconnection.
  - Firewalls, DMZs, access control lists, ...
- Non-IP nodes behind a gateway that is on a network are no more secure than the gateway device. And those devices are typically numerous, and use less than state-of-the-art security technology.
- 802.15.4 provides built-in AES128 encryption which is enabled beneath IP, much like WPA on 802.11.



## Does using 6LoWPAN mean giving up deterministic timing behavior?

- No.
- Use of the 6LoWPAN format for carrying traffic over 802.15.4 links is orthogonal to whether those links are scheduled deterministically.
  - Deterministic media access control (MAC) can be implemented as easily with 6LoWPAN as with any other format.
- There is a long history of such TDMA mechanisms with IP, including Token Ring and FDDI.
  - MAC protocols, such as FPS and TSMP, extend this to a mesh.
  - Ultimately, determinacy requires load limits and sufficient headroom to cover potential losses.
  - Devices using different MACs on the same link (TDMA vs CSMA) may not be able to communicate, even though the packet formats are the same.



## Is 6LoWPAN less energy efficient than proprietary protocols?

- No.
- Other protocols carry similar header information for addressing and routing, but in a more ad hoc fashion.
- While IP requires that the general case must work, it permits extensive optimization for specific cases.
- 6LoWPAN optimizes within the low-power 802.15.4 subnet
  - More costly only when you go beyond that link.
  - Other protocols must provide analogous information (at application level) to instruct gateways.
- Ultimately, the performance is determined by the quality the implementation.
  - With IP's open standards, companies must compete on performance and efficiency, rather than proprietary "lock in"



## Do I need to run IPv6 instead of IPv4 on the rest of my network to use 6LoWPAN?

- No.
- IPv6 and IPv4 work together throughout the world using 4-6 translation.
- IPv6 is designed to support “billions” of non-traditional networked devices and is a cleaner design.
  - Actually easier to support on small devices, despite the larger addresses.
- The embedded 802.15.4 devices can speak IPv6 with the routers to the rest of the network providing 4-6 translation.
  - Such translation is already standardized and widely available.